

Legal Update Article

# California Governor Vetoes Bill Prohibiting Mandatory Arbitration Provisions in Employment Contracts

By Samia M. Kirmani

October 1, 2018

## Meet the Authors



### Samia M. Kirmani

Principal

(617) 367-0025

[Samia.Kirmani@jacksonlewis.com](mailto:Samia.Kirmani@jacksonlewis.com)

## Related Services

Alternative Dispute Resolution  
California Advice and Counsel  
Construction  
Employment Litigation  
Energy and Utilities  
Entertainment and Media  
Financial Services  
Government Contractors  
Healthcare  
Higher Education  
Hospitality  
Insurance  
Life Sciences  
Manufacturing  
Real Estate  
Retail  
Sexual Harassment  
Staffing and Independent  
Workforce  
Technology  
Transportation and Logistics  
Workplace Training

In a last-minute action on the September 30 legislative deadline, California's Governor vetoed a bill that, among other things, would have imposed restrictions on the use of arbitration agreements for certain employment claims.

Under vetoed Assembly Bill 3080, beginning on January 1, 2019, employers in California would have been barred from requiring employees and independent contractors to sign arbitration or nondisclosure agreements as a condition of their employment (or continued employment). The bill also would have prohibited employers from retaliating against employees who refuse to sign such agreements.

In his September 30, 2018, statement vetoing the bill, Governor Jerry Brown explained that Assembly Bill 3080 violated the Federal Arbitration Act and recent U.S. Supreme Court decisions by creating impermissible restrictions on the initial formation of arbitration agreements.

The California Legislature originally may have been motivated by the #MeToo movement confronting issues of sexual harassment in the workplace. Unlike similar #MeToo efforts in the states of [Maryland](#), [New York](#), Vermont, and [Washington](#), Assembly Bill 3080 was much broader in scope and appeared to directly challenge the U.S. Supreme Court decision in *Epic Systems Corp. v. Lewis*, No. 16-285, 584 U.S. \_\_ (2018). For more on the Supreme Court decision, see our article, [Supreme Court: Class Action Waivers in Employment Arbitration Agreements Do Not Violate Federal Labor Law](#).

Jackson Lewis attorneys are monitoring California legislation, and how the Assembly will react to the Governor's veto. Jackson Lewis attorneys are available to assist employers with this and other workplace issues.

©2018 Jackson Lewis P.C. This material is provided for informational purposes only. It is not intended to constitute legal advice nor does it create a client-lawyer relationship between Jackson Lewis and any recipient. Recipients should consult with counsel before taking any actions based on the information contained within this material. This material may be considered attorney advertising in some jurisdictions. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.

Focused on employment and labor law since 1958, Jackson Lewis P.C.'s 1,000+ attorneys located in major cities nationwide consistently identify and respond to new ways workplace law intersects business. We help employers develop proactive strategies, strong policies and business-oriented solutions to cultivate high-functioning workforces that are engaged and stable, and share our clients' goals to emphasize belonging and respect for the contributions of every employee. For more information, visit <https://www.jacksonlewis.com>.