


# Court Vacates Parts of FFCRA Regulations, Including Healthcare Provider Definition

By Patricia Anderson Pryor

August 3, 2020

## Meet the Authors



**Patricia Anderson Pryor**

Office Managing Principal  
513-322-5035  
Patricia.Pryor@jacksonlewis.com

## Related Services

COVID-19  
Disability, Leave and Health  
Management  
Healthcare

The federal district court in New York struck down four provisions in the Department of Labor's (DOL) Families First Coronavirus Response Act (FFCRA) regulations on August 3, 2020, four months after the regulations went into effect, and five months before the FFCRA is set to expire. *State of New York v. U.S. Department of Labor, et al*, No. 1:20-cv-03020 (S.D. N.Y. Aug. 3, 2020).

Shortly after the DOL issued its FFCRA regulations, the state of New York filed a lawsuit challenging some of the provisions.

The four provisions struck down include:

- The definition of who qualifies for the healthcare provider exemption;
- The exclusion from benefits of employees whose employers do not have work for them;
- The requirement that employees secure consent for intermittent leave for certain qualifying reasons; and
- The requirement that documentation be provided before taking leave.

The court let stand the remaining provisions of the DOL's regulations.

The court's decision leaves open many questions for employers who are trying to comply with the law. (It also demonstrates the inherent issues when Congress and federal agencies try to rush through legislation and regulations.) Employers who have been following the regulations may find themselves at risk. The decision leaves employers to surmise the answers to important questions, such as what definition of healthcare provider should be used under the FFCRA, and whether employees on furlough or who otherwise do not have work available (regardless of whether the employee is unable to work due to a COVID-19 issue) are eligible for pay.

For public employers and employers with fewer than 500 employees, the rules have changed. The implications of the court's ruling are complicated.

Contact your Jackson Lewis attorney with any questions and for assistance in developing an approach that helps minimize the risk for your organization.

©2020 Jackson Lewis P.C. This material is provided for informational purposes only. It is not intended to constitute legal advice nor does it create a client-lawyer relationship between Jackson Lewis and any recipient. Recipients should consult with counsel before taking any actions based on the information contained within this material. This material may be considered attorney advertising in some jurisdictions. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.

Focused on labor and employment law since 1958, Jackson Lewis P.C.'s 1000+ attorneys located in major cities nationwide consistently identify and respond to new ways workplace law intersects business. We help employers develop proactive strategies, strong policies and business-oriented solutions to cultivate high-functioning workforces that are engaged, stable and diverse, and share our clients' goals to emphasize inclusivity and respect for the contribution of every employee. For more information, visit <https://www.jacksonlewis.com>.